Case	8:20-ap-01170-ES Doc 1 Filed 12/09/20 Main Document Page	Entered 12/09/20 19:00:09 Desc e 1 of 13
1	DINSMORE & SANDELMANN LLP	
2	FRANK SANDELMANN (SBN 186415) fsandelmann@lawinmb.com	
3	JOSHUA A. VALENE (SBN 292109) jvalene@lawinmb.com	
4	BRENNAN J. MITCH (SBN 279109) bmitch@lawinmb.com	
	324 Manhattan Beach Blvd., Suite 201	
5	Manhattan Beach, CA 90266 Telephone: (310) 318-1220	
6	Facsimile: (310) 318-1223	
7	Counsel for Plaintiff Thomas H. Casey, Chapter 7 Bankruptcy Trustee for	
8	One Source Facility Maintenance, Inc.	
9	and One Source Facility Solution, Inc.	
10	UNITED STATES BAN	KRUPICY COURT
11	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFOR	RNIA – SANTA ANA DIVISION
12	In Re:	Lead Case No.: 8:18-bk-14512-ES [Chapter 7]
13	ONE SOURCE FACILITY MAINTENANCE,	_
	INC.,	Jointly Administered With:
14	Debtor(s)	Case No.: 8:18-bk-14511- ES
15	In Re:	Adv No
16	ONE SOURCE FACILITY SOLUTION, INC.	CHAPTER 7 TRUSTEE'S ADVERSARY COMPLAINT:
17	Debtor(s)	
18	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	1) TO AVOID AND RECOVER FRAUDULENT TRANSFERS;
19		2) FOR RECOVERY OF
20	THOMAS H. CASEY, Chapter 7 Bankruptcy Trustee for One Source Facility Maintenance,	TRANSFERS;
21	Inc. and One Source Facility Solution, Inc.,	3) FOR PRESERVATION OF TRANSFERS;
22	Plaintiff,	,
	vs.	4) FOR DISALLOWANCE OF CLAIM
23	DILIP JOSHI; NISHAN JOSHI; VASANTI	
24	JOSHI; ORLANDO A. MOLINA doing business as NORTH VALLEY CLEANING SERVICES;	[SUMMONS TO BE ISSUED]
25	JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.; CITIBANK, N.A.; WELLS FARGO BANK,	
26	N.A.; FLAGSTAR MORTGAGE CORP.; NEW REZ, LLC; LOAN CARE, LLC; WEST ONE	
27	PROPERTY SERVICES, INC.	
28	Defendants.	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff THOMAS H. CASEY, Chapter 7 Trustee for the estates of Debtors One Source Facility Maintenance, Inc. and One Source Facility Solution, Inc. in the above-captioned Jointly Administered Bankruptcy Cases and plaintiff herein (the "Trustee" or "Plaintiff"), hereby respectfully alleges against the Defendants identified herein as follows:

#### PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. This is a proceeding by the Trustee seeking an order of this Court avoiding and recovering payments made by the above-captioned debtors to the Defendants as fraudulent transfers pursuant to 11 U.S.C. section 544, 548, 550 and 551 and/or California Civil Code section 3439. Over the course of several years, Debtors One Source Facility Maintenance, Inc.'s ("Maintenance") and One Source Facility Solution, Inc.'s ("Solution") (collectively, "Debtors") funds were transferred out of the companies either to extract money for use by Defendant Dilip Joshi ("Dilip"), Dilip's wife Defendant Vasanti Joshi ("Vasanti"), and Dilip's son Defendant Nishan Joshi ("Nishan") or for directly paying Dilip's, Vasanti's, and Nishan's personal expenses that were wholly unrelated to the business of the Debtors such as Dilip's mortgage payments to Defendants Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. ("WFB"), Flagstar Mortgage Corp. ("Flagstar"), NewRez, LLC f.k.a New Penn Financial, LLC ("NewRez"), and LoanCare, LLC ("LoanCare"). This further included payments on Dilip's credit cards, which were issued by Defendants Citibank, N.A. ("Citi") and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. ("Chase"). These are classic "upstream" constructive fraudulent transfers for inadequate/illegal consideration, due to the fact that an insolvent or undercapitalized corporation cannot lawfully distribute its assets for the benefit of its equity security holder(s) without making adequate provision to satisfy its creditors in full.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. Jurisdiction over this proceeding exists pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334 because this action constitutes a proceeding arising under Title 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code or arising in or related to the above-entitled Jointly Administered Bankruptcy Cases pursuant to Local Bankruptcy Rule 1015-1 (collectively, the "Main Case").
  - 3. Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409 because the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Debtors were domiciled in this District for at least 180 days prior to the commencement of the
Main Case and because this adversary proceeding arises under and in connection with the Main
Case, which is still pending.

- 4. This matter is a core bankruptcy proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2).
- 5. To the extent that Plaintiff asserts claims under 11 U.S.C. § 544(b), Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that there exists in this case one or more creditors holding unsecured claims allowable under 11 U.S.C. § 502, or that are not allowable only under 11 U.S.C. § 502(e), who could have avoided the respective transfers alleged in this Complaint under California law or other applicable laws prior to the Petition Date (defined below).
- 6. This Complaint commences an adversary proceeding within the meaning of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, Rule 7001.

#### THE PARTIES

- 7. Plaintiff is the duly appointed Chapter 7 Trustee of the Estates of Maintenance and Solution. Due to the fact that Plaintiff was not appointed until after the Petition Date, Plaintiff does not have personal knowledge of the facts alleged in the complaint that arose prior to the filing of the Main Case, and therefore alleges all of those facts on information and belief. Plaintiff reserves his right to amend this Complaint to allege additional claims against the Defendants and to challenge and recover transfers made to or for the benefit of any of the Defendants in addition to those transfers alleged int this Complaint.
- 8. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that Dilip is an individual residing in the State of Texas and is an insider within the meaning of 11 U.S.C. § 101(31).
- 9. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that Vasanti is an individual residing in the State of Texas and is an insider within the meaning of 11 U.S.C. § 101(31).
- 10. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that Nishan is an individual residing in the State of California and is an insider within the meaning of 11 U.S.C. §

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

11.	Plaintiff	is	informed	and	believes	and	based	thereon	alleges	that	Molina	is	an

- Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that Chase is a bank organized under the National Bank Act that does business in the State of California.
- 13. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that Citi is a bank organized under the National Banking Act that does business in the State of California.
- 14. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that WFB is a bank organized under the National Banking Act that does business in the State of California.
- 15. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that Flagstar is a Maryland corporation doing business in the State of California.
- 16. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that NewRez is a Delaware limited liability company doing business in the State of California.
- 17. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that LoanCare is a Virginia limited liability company doing business in the State of California.
- 18. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that West One Property Services, Inc. ("West One") is a California Corporation doing business in the State of California.

## **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 19. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that Solution was incorporated on or about November 29, 2005 and was, at all relevant times, a California corporation engaged in the business of providing janitorial services to its customers. At all relevant times, Solution was 100% owned and controlled by Dilip and Vasanti.
- 20. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that Maintenance was incorporated on or about May 25, 2017 and was, at all relevant times, a California corporation engaged in the business of providing janitorial services to its customers. At all relevant times, Maintenance was 100% owned and controlled by Dilip, Vasanti, and Nishan.
  - 21. Almost immediately after incorporation, Dilip and Vasanti began mismanaging

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

the Debtors so that they were unable to pay their obligations.

- 22. Further, Dilip and Vasanti embarked on a scheme to loot the gross revenue of the Debtors to make massive, unreasonable distributions to themselves and their family.
- 23. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that Dilip looted more than \$139,180.43 in corporate funds from Debtors' bank accounts, via direct payments or personal withdrawals, to directly pay for Dilip's personal expenses, including mortgage payments for his houses in the cities of Norco and Orange, property taxes for his house in Las Vegas, Nevada, homeowners' insurance for his Las Vegas house, utility bills for his various homes, life insurance premiums, and Medicare premiums.
- 24. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that Dilip also used corporate credit cards to pay for purely personal expenses and used corporate funds to pay off purely personal credit card debt, in a total amount exceeding \$192,373.56. These personal expenses included but were not limited to domestic and international airfare for personal travel, vacation expenses, gas stations, grocery stores, spas, drug stores, and department stores.
- 25. Between January and August of 2018, Dilip wrote more than a dozen checks for a total of \$96,760.00 for purported loans to Molina from Maintenance's accounts for the alleged purpose of starting to a new business with Molina.
- 26. After Maintenance ceased operating in 2018, Dilip made several transfer or withdrawals totaling \$81,660.00 to himself from Maintenance's bank accounts.
- 27. After Maintenance ceased operating in 2018, Vasanti made several transfers or withdrawals totaling \$4,100.00 to herself from Maintenance's bank accounts.
- 28. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that Dilip transferred \$10,690.00 from Solution to West One, a company owned by Nishan and in which Dilip was an officer.
  - 29.
- 30. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that more than \$500,000.00 in corporate funds from Debtors were spent or transfer or withdrawn for improper personal purposes while Debtors owed outstanding liabilities to creditors.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 31. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that at no time prior to the Petition Date were the Debtors required or otherwise obligated to pay or otherwise transfer money of the Debtors to the Defendants. The transfers and payments alleged herein shall be collectively referred to as the "Subject Transfers."
- 32. Debtors filed their respective Voluntary Petition(s) for Chapter 7 Bankruptcy (the "Petitions") on December 11, 2018 (the "Petition Date").
- 33. The Trustee brings the instant adversary proceeding to, inter alia, avoid and recover the above-referenced funds for the benefit of the Estate.
- 34. Trustee reserves his right to supplement and amend the allegations contained in this Complaint, including, but not limited to, the right to (i) allege further information regarding all Claims for Relief, including additional transfers of interests in the Debtors' assets or additional liabilities incurred by the Debtors, (ii) make modifications and/or revisions to Defendants' name(s), (iii) allege claims against additional Defendants, and/or (iv) allege additional causes of action arising in connection with the law and facts set forth herein (collectively, the "Amendments"), that may become known to the Trustee at any time during this adversary proceeding through formal discovery or otherwise, and for the Amendments to relate back to this original Complaint, including the date upon which this original Complaint was filed.

## FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Against Defendants To Avoid And Recover Fraudulent Transfers – 11 U.S.C. §§ 544(b) and 550(a) and California Civil Code § 3439.04(a)(1) and 3439.07)

- 35. The Trustee incorporates herein by this reference paragraphs 1 through 34,
- inclusive, of this Complaint as if set forth in full herein.
- 36. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that, as of the date of the Order For Relief, the Debtors maintained at least one general unsecured creditor having standing to bring these claims under state law.
- 37. The Trustee is further informed and believes, and based thereon alleges that, no contractual relationship existed between the Debtors and Defendants which would have otherwise caused the Debtors to make the Subject Transfers, and each of them, to Defendants.

Case 8:20-ap-01170-ES	Doc 1	Filed 12/0	9/20	Entered 12/09/20 19:00:09	Des
ll ·		ocument			

- 38. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that, during the four years preceding the Petition Date, the Debtors transferred the Subject Transfers, and each of them, for no or little consideration to the Defendants. The subject transfers constituted illegal dividends to equity holders by an insolvent corporation.
- 39. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that the Subject Transfers, and each of them, to Defendants were made with the actual intent to hinder, delay and defraud Debtors' creditors.
- 40. The Subject Transfers, and each of them, constitute fraudulent transfers under 11 U.S.C. §§ 544 and 550 and California Civil Code §§ 3439 et seq. and, therefore, are avoidable by the Trustee

### **SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

## (Against Defendants For Recovery of Property – 11 U.S.C. § 550)

- 41. The Trustee incorporates herein by this reference paragraphs 1 through 40, inclusive, of this Complaint as if set forth in full herein.
- 42. Defendants, and each of them, were the initial transferee of the Subject Transfers or the entity for whose benefit the Subject Transfers were incurred, or is the immediate or mediate transferee of the initial transferee benefiting from such Subject Transfers, or any of them.
- 43. Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 550(a), Plaintiff is entitled to recover the value of the property transferred in the Subject Transfers, together with interest thereon, as set forth above

#### THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

## (Against Defendants For Preservation of Avoided Transfers - 11 U.S.C. § 551)

- 44. The Trustee incorporates herein by this reference paragraphs 1 through 43, inclusive, of this Complaint as if set forth in full herein.
- 45. Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 551, Plaintiff is entitled to preserve any transfer avoided under 11 U.S.C. § 544 including the Obligations, for the benefit of the estates.

 $_{28} \parallel_{///}$ 

///

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEI	<b>FOURTH</b>	<b>CLAIM F</b>	OR RELIEF
-------------------------	---------------	----------------	-----------

#### (Against Defendants For Disallowance Of Claim – 11 U.S.C. § 502(d))

- 46. The Trustee incorporates herein by this reference paragraphs 1 through 45, inclusive, of this Complaint as if set forth in full herein.
- 47. The Defendants is an entity from which property is recoverable under 11 U.S.C. §§ 542, 543, 550 or 553, or the Defendants received avoidable transfers under 11 U.S.C. §§ 522(f), 522(h), 544, 545, 547, 548, 549, or 724(a).
- 48. The Defendants have not paid the amount or turned over any such property for which the Defendants is liable under 11 U.S.C. §§ 522(i), 542, 543, 550, or 553 of the Bankruptcy Code.
- 49. Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 502(d), the Defendants' claims, to the extent that the Defendants asserts a claim or claims, should be disallowed.

## WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:

- 1. For a determination by the Court that the Subject Transfers, and each of them, are fraudulent transfers within the meaning of 11 U.S.C. §§ 544 and 550, and California Civil Code §§ 3439 et seq.;
- 2. For a determination by the Court that the Subject Transfers were preferential transfers within the meaning of 11 U.S.C. §§ 547 and 550;
- 3. For judgment against Defendants avoiding the Subject Transfers, and each of them, and recovering the transferred property or the value of such property for the benefit of Debtors' Estates;
- 4. For costs of suit incurred herein; and
- 5. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DINSMORE & SANDELMANN LLP FRANK SANDELMANN JOSHUA A. VALENE BRENNAN J. MITCH

Dated: December 9, 2020 /s/ Brennan Mitch By:

Attorneys for Chapter 7 Trustee

THOMAS H. CASEY

B1040 (FORM 1040) (12/15)

ADVERSARY PROCEEDING COVER SHEE (Instructions on Reverse)	ET	ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NUMBER (Court Use Only)		
PLAINTIFFS Thomas H. Casey, Chapter 7 Trustee for the estate of One Source Facility Maintenance, Inc. and One Source Facility	doing busin	Nishan Joshi; Vasanti Joshi; Orlando A. Molina less as North Valley Cleaning Services; JPMorgan		
Solution, Inc.	Flagstar Mo	x, N.A.; Citibank, N.A.; Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.; Ortgage Corp.; New Rez, LLC; Loan Care, LLC; Property Services, Inc.		
ATTORNEYS (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone No.) DINSMORE & SANDELMANN LLP FRANK SANDELMANN (SBN 186415) JOSHUA A. VALENE (SBN 292109) BRENNAN J. MITCH (SBN 279109) 324 Manhattan Beach Blvd., Suite 201 Manhattan Beach, CA 90266 / Telephone: (310) 318-1220	ATTORN	NEYS (If Known)		
PARTY (Check One Box Only)  □ Debtor □ U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin	PARTY (	Check One Box Only)  □ U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin		
□ Creditor □ Other  ✓ Trustee	□ Creditor □ Trustee	¥ ¥		
CAUSE OF ACTION (WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSI (1) To Avoid and Recover Fraudulent Transfers 11 U.S.C. §§ 544(b) and Secovery of Property – 11 U.S.C. § 550; (3) Preservation of Avoided Transfers (3)	E OF ACTIO 550(a) and Ca	lifornia Civil Code § 3439.04(a)(1) and 3439.07; (2)		
NATURE ( (Number up to five (5) boxes starting with lead cause of action as 1		ive cause as 2, second alternative cause as 3, etc.)		
FRBP 7001(1) – Recovery of Money/Property	FRBP 7001(6	6) – Dischargeability (continued)		
11-Recovery of money/property - §542 turnover of property		nargeability - §523(a)(5), domestic support nargeability - §523(a)(6), willful and malicious injury		
12-Recovery of money/property - §547 preference	_	nargeability - §523(a)(8), student loan		
13-Recovery of money/property - §548 fraudulent transfer	64-Dischargeability - \$523(a)(15), divorce or separation obligation (other than domestic support)			
2 14-Recovery of money/property - other		nargeability - other		
FRBP 7001(2) – Validity, Priority or Extent of Lien	FRBP 7001(7	7) – Injunctive Relief		
21-Validity, priority or extent of lien or other interest in property	71-Injun	ctive relief – imposition of stay		
FRBP 7001(3) – Approval of Sale of Property	72-Injund	ctive relief – other		
31-Approval of sale of property of estate and of a co-owner - §363(h)		3) Subordination of Claim or Interest		
FRBP 7001(4) – Objection/Revocation of Discharge	☐ 81-Subo	rdination of claim or interest		
41-Objection / revocation of discharge - §727(c),(d),(e)		D) Declaratory Judgment  aratory judgment		
FRBP 7001(5) – Revocation of Confirmation  51-Revocation of confirmation		10) Determination of Removed Action		
FRBP 7001(6) – Dischargeability		rmination of removed claim or cause		
66-Dischargeability - §523(a)(1),(14),(14A) priority tax claims	Other			
62-Dischargeability - §523(a)(2), false pretenses, false representation, actual fraud		A Case – 15 U.S.C. §§78aaa <i>et.seq</i> .  er (e.g. other actions that would have been brought in state		
67-Dischargeability - \$523(a)(4), fraud as fiduciary, embezzlement, larceny		art if unrelated to bankruptcy case)		
(continued next column)				
☐ Check if this case involves a substantive issue of state law	□ Check if	this is asserted to be a class action under FRCP 23		
☐ Check if a jury trial is demanded in complaint	Demand \$5	524,764		
Other Relief Sought		<del></del>		

B1040 (FORM 1040) (12/15)

BANKRUPTCY CASE IN WHICH THIS ADVERSARY PROCEEDING ARISES								
NAME OF DEBTOR	BANKRUPTCY CASE NO.							
One Source Facility Maintenance, Inc.		8:18-bk-14512-ES						
DISTRICT IN WHICH CASE IS PENDING		DIVISION OFFICE	NAME OF JUDGE					
Central District of California		Santa Ana	Erithe A. Smith					
RELATED ADVERSARY PROCEEDING (IF ANY)								
PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT	Γ	ADVERSARY					
			PROCEEDING NO.					
DISTRICT IN WHICH ADVERSARY IS PENDIN	DIVISION OFFICE	NAME OF JUDGE						
SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PLAINTIFF)								
/s/Brennan J. Mitch								
DATE		PRINT NAME OF ATTORNE	V (OP DI AINTIEE)					
DAIL		(I (OKILAINIIII)						
December 9, 2020	Brennan J. Mitch							

#### **INSTRUCTIONS**

The filing of a bankruptcy case creates an "estate" under the jurisdiction of the bankruptcy court which consists of all of the property of the debtor, wherever that property is located. Because the bankruptcy estate is so extensive and the jurisdiction of the court so broad, there may be lawsuits over the property or property rights of the estate. There also may be lawsuits concerning the debtor's discharge. If such a lawsuit is filed in a bankruptcy court, it is called an adversary proceeding.

A party filing an adversary proceeding must also must complete and file Form 1040, the Adversary Proceeding Cover Sheet, unless the party files the adversary proceeding electronically through the court's Case Management/Electronic Case Filing system (CM/ECF). (CM/ECF captures the information on Form 1040 as part of the filing process.) When completed, the cover sheet summarizes basic information on the adversary proceeding. The clerk of court needs the information to process the adversary proceeding and prepare required statistical reports on court activity.

The cover sheet and the information contained on it do not replace or supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, the Bankruptcy Rules, or the local rules of court. The cover sheet, which is largely self-explanatory, must be completed by the plaintiff's attorney (or by the plaintiff if the plaintiff is not represented by an attorney). A separate cover sheet must be submitted to the clerk for each complaint filed.

Plaintiffs and Defendants. Give the names of the plaintiffs and defendants exactly as they appear on the complaint.

**Attorneys.** Give the names and addresses of the attorneys, if known.

Party. Check the most appropriate box in the first column for the plaintiffs and the second column for the defendants.

**Demand.** Enter the dollar amount being demanded in the complaint.

**Signature.** This cover sheet must be signed by the attorney of record in the box on the second page of the form. If the plaintiff is represented by a law firm, a member of the firm must sign. If the plaintiff is pro se, that is, not represented by an attorney, the plaintiff must sign.

Attorney or Party Name, Address, Telephone & FAX Nos., State Bar No. & Email Address DINSMORE & SANDELMANN LLP FRANK SANDELMANN (SBN 186415) fsandelmann@lawinmb.com JOSHUA A. VALENE (SBN 292109) jvalene@lawinmb.com BRENNAN J. MITCH (SBN 279109) bmitch@lawinmb.com 324 Manhattan Beach Blvd., Suite 201 Manhattan Beach, CA 90266 Telephone: (310) 318-1220	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Facsimile: (310) 318-1223 Attorney for Plaintiff	
•	BANKRUPTCY COURT RNIA - SANTA ANA DIVISION
In re:	
ONE SOURCE FACILITY MAINTENANCE, INC.,	CASE NO.: 8:18-bk-14512-ES CHAPTER: 7
ONE SOURCE FACILITY SOLUTION, INC.	ADVERSARY NO.:
Debtor(s).	
THOMAS H. CASEY, Chapter 7 Bankruptcy Trustee for One Source Facility Maintenance, Inc. and One Source Facility Solution, Inc.,	
Plaintiff(s)  Versus  Dilip Joshi; Nishan Joshi; Vasanti Joshi; Orlando A.  Molina doing business as North Valley Cleaning  Services; JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.; Citibank, N.A.;  Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.; Flagstar Mortgage Corp.; New  Rez, LLC; Loan Care, LLC; West One Property Services,  Defendant(s)	SUMMONS AND NOTICE OF STATUS CONFERENCE IN ADVERSARY PROCEEDING [LBR 7004-1]
our written response on the party shown in the upper left-h	response to the Complaint. You must also serve a copy of land corner of this page. The deadline to file and serve a e and serve the response, the court may enter a judgment by t.
Hearing Date: Address:	
Courtroom: 3420 T	st Temple Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012 welfth Street, Riverside, CA 92501 est Fourth Street, Santa Ana, CA 92701 tate Street, Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Burbank Boulevard, Woodland Hills, CA 91367

This form is mandatory. It has been approved for use in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California.

You must comply with LBR 7016-1, which requires you to file a joint status report and to appear at a status conference. All parties must read and comply with the rule, even if you are representing yourself. You must cooperate with the other parties in the case and file a joint status report with the court and serve it on the appropriate parties at least 14 days before a status conference. A court-approved joint status report form is available on the court's website (LBR form F 7016-1.STATUS.REPORT) with an attachment for additional parties if necessary (LBR form F 7016-1.STATUS.REPORT.ATTACH). If the other parties do not cooperate in filing a joint status report, you still must file with the court a unilateral status report and the accompanying required declaration instead of a joint status report 7 days before the status conference. The court may fine you or impose other sanctions if you do not file a status report. The court may also fine you or impose other sanctions if you fail to appear at a status conference.

#### KATHLEEN J. CAMPBELL CLERK OF COURT

Date of Issuance of Summons and Notice of Status Conference in Adversary Proceeding:					
	Ву:				
	Deputy (	Clerk			

## PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address is:

		t entitled: <b>SUMMONS AND NOTICE OF STATUS (</b> ) the accompanying pleading(s) entitled:	ONFERENCE
will be served or the manner state		bers in the form and manner required by LBR 5005-	2(d); and <b>(b)</b> in
Orders and LBR,	, the foregoing document will be serve , I checked the CM/ECF docket for t	ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF): Pursuant to controlling by the court via NEF and hyperlink to the documenth his bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding and deleast to receive NEF transmission at the email address	t. On ( <i>date</i> ) termined that
		Service information continued on a	attached page
On (date) case or adversar first class, postag	ry proceeding by placing a true and co	rsons and/or entities at the last known addresses in trect copy thereof in a sealed envelope in the United Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that redocument is filed.	States mail,
		Service information continued on a	attached page
for each person of the following pers such service met	or entity served): Pursuant to F.R.Civ sons and/or entities by personal delive thod), by facsimile transmission and/or	T MAIL, FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION OR EMAIL (see P. 5 and/or controlling LBR, on (date)	, I served ed in writing to a declaration
I declare under p	penalty of perjury under the laws of the	Service information continued on a United States that the foregoing is true and correct.	attached page
Date	Printed Name	Signature	

This form is mandatory. It has been approved for use in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California.